UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,974	03/25/2004	Peter John Allen	19,815	9639
	7590 03/09/200 LARK WORLDWID!	EXAMINER		
401 NORTH LAKE STREET			FORTUNA, JOSE A	
NEENAH, WI)49)6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
	······			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	AYS	03/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	A It's add an Ala	A 1: 4(-)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,974	ALLEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	José A. Fortuna	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
	s action is non-final.		it i
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I	•	·	31115 15
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Lx parte Quayle, 1905 O.	D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Sta	ige
Attachment(s)	4) [] Into - :	v Summary (PTO-413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/810,974 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-23, drawn to a "a wet wiper product," classified in class 424, subclass 402.
 - II. Claims 24-59, drawn to a "a wet wipe stack," classified in class 493, subclass 356.
 - III. Claims 60-64, drawn to a method of making, classified in class 162, subclass 109.
 - IV. Claim 65, drawn to a "method of operating a throughdrying machine," classified in class 34, subclass 444.
- 2. Inventions II and I are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed the inventions have different modes of operation, function and effect. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions (I-II) and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as Application/Control Number: 10/810,974 Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process of making, such as using a wet press instead of a throughdrier to partially dewater the web. Note also that the method does not require the amount of permanent wet strength as required by the product.

- 4. Inventions IV and (I, II and III) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation, design and effects. A method of operating a drier is not related to the method of making tissues or the tissues, since the function, effects and modes of operation are different.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Gregory Croft on March 1, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A. Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,974 Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

José A Fortuna Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731